Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-6 Filed 02/24/20 Page 1 of 24 PageID #: 1613

MDL Privilege Number	Production Beg Bates	Date	Custodian	Author	Recipient	сс	Description	Privilege Claim	PEC's Challenge	RS Response
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5472		11/4/2016	Weissman, G.	Cardinal Health			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Specific author not identified. No recipients identified. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery ruligs.	Response Pending.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5473		11/4/2016	Weissman, G.	Cardinal Health			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Specific author not identified. No recipients identified. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery ruligs.	Response Pending.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5474		11/5/2016	Weissman, G.	Cardinal Health			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Specific author not identified. No recipients identified. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery ruligs.	Response Pending.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5475		11/5/2016	Weissman, G.	Cardinal Health			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Specific author not identified. No recipients identified. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery ruligs.	Response Pending.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5476		11/5/2016	Weissman, G.	Cardinal Health			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Specific author not identified. No recipients identified. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery ruligs. No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	Response Pending.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5477		12/28/2016	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Op-Ed prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	Response Pending.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5478		12/29/2016	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Op-Ed prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	Response Pending.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5479		1/5/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Edits to draft W. Va. AG press release re settlement of litigation, prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5480		1/6/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Op-Ed prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5481		1/9/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/prior discovery rulings. No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	Response Pending.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5482		1/9/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5491		8/24/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Op-Ed on distributor role in the supply chain prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-6 Filed 02/24/20 Page 2 of 24 PageID #: 1614

	1 -			 		INO recipients identified - Distribution not identified	T
				Draft Op-Ed on distributor role in the		Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
				supply chain prepared at the direction of	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter,	
			Weissman,	the ABC Legal Department for review by	Privilege; Work	and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5492	8/24/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	counsel	Product	prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
						No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	
				Draft Op-Ed on distributor role in the		Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
			l	supply chain prepared at the direction of	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter,	
			Weissman,	the ABC Legal Department for review by	Privilege; Work	and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5493	9/12/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	counsel	Product	prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
				Draft messages and talking points for Hill		Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
				meetings relating to opioids, prepared at	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter,	
1			Weissman,	the direction of the ABC Legal Department	Privilege; Work	and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	
ABDCMDL PRIV 5494	9/26/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	for review by counsel	Product	prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Draft Internal messaging regarding recent		No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	
				media coverage of distributors and the		Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
				opioid crisis, prepared at the direction of	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter,	
			Weissman,	the ABC Legal Department for review by	Privilege; Work	and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5498	10/14/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	counsel	Product	prior discovery rulings. INO recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	Response Pending.
				Draft Op-Ed prepared at the direction of		Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
				the ABC Legal Department for review by	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter,	
			Weissman,	counsel in connection with 60 minutes	Privilege; Work	and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	
ABDCMDL PRIV 5503	11/4/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	coverage	Product	prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
	, ,						The second secon
				Draft PowerPoint presentation analyzing		No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	
				Washington Post/60 Minutes claims		Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
				regarding lobbying expenses, prepared at	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter,	
			Weissman,	the drection of the ABC Legal Department	Privilege; Work	and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5504	11/6/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	for reviwe by counsel	Product	prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
						Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
				Draft press release prepared at the	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter,	
			Weissman,	direction of the ABC Legal Department for	Privilege; Work	and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	
ABDCMDL PRIV 5506	11/7/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	review by counsel	Product	prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
7.155-(11.152)	11///2017		Cabitet	review by counse.	. roddet	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	nesponse i ename.
				Draft package of media materials prepared	t	Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
				for the board of directors at the direction	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter,	
			Weissman,	of the ABC Legal Department for review by		and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5508	11/8/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	counsel	Product	prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
						Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
				Draft presentation to the board of	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter,	
			Weissman,	directors prepared at the direction of the	Privilege; Work	and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5514	11/9/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	ABC Legal Department	Product	prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
	11,5,2017				+	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	
						Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
				Draft press release prepared at the	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter,	
			Weissman,	direction of the ABC Legal Department for		and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5519	11/28/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	review by counsel	Product	prior discovery rulings. INO recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	Response Pending.
						Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
				Draft press release prepared at the	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter,	
			Weissman,	direction of the ABC Legal Department for		and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	
ABDCMDL PRIV 5520	11/29/2017	Weissman. G.	Gabriel	review by counsel	Product	prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
	,,,	,			1	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	
						Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
				Draft press release prepared at the	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter,	
			Weissman,	direction of the ABC Legal Department for	Privilege; Work	and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5521	11/30/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	review by counsel	Product	prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
						Attornov involvement is not apparent and no specific	
				Draft press release propared at the	Attorney Client	Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
			Weissman,	Draft press release prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	
ABDCMDL PRIV 5525	12/6/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	review by counsel	Product	prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
VDDCIAIDF_LUIA 3353	12/0/2017	vvcissillali, G.	Ganilei	review by couriser	riouuci	prior discovery runnigs.	nesponse renaing.

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-6 Filed 02/24/20 Page 3 of 24 PageID #: 1615

ABDCMDL_PRIV_5526	12/12/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft press release prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5528	2/6/2018	Weissman, G.	Reservoir Communications	Healthcare Distribution Alliance		Draft talking points and key messaging document relating to opioid litigation and media scrutiny, prepared at the direction fo the ABC Legal Deaprtment for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Specific author not identified. Specific recipients not identified. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5557	3/22/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel		Redacted email chain among client employees and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding responses to media reporting and inquiries.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involement is indirect and/or not not apparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications contain/reflect requests for legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5558	4/20/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Mitchell, Beth	Tallamy, Brad		Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (E. Campbell/Esq.) regarding overview of the severity of the opioid crisis.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involement is indirect and/or not not apparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5566	5/11/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Zimmerman, Chris; Reed, Chuck; Kounelis, Peter; May, David; Mays, Steve; Ross, Pau		Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding opioid legislation.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involement is indirect and/or not not apparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document ABDC maintains the privilege
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5568	5/11/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	May, David		Redacted email chain among client employees discussing legal advice from inhouse counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding ongoing litigation and proposed legislation.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involement is indirect and/or not not apparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications discuss legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5570	5/23/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Reed, Chuck; Kounelis, Peter; Mays, Steve; Ross, Paul; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Weissman, Gabriel; Chou, John/Esq.		Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding response to opioid policy steering committee.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5571	5/30/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Nightengale, Brian; Weissman, Gabriel; Lane, Christine	Moyer, Lauren	Redacted email chain among client employees and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding responses to media reporting and inquiries. Email among client employees discussing	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involement is indirect and/or not not apparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications contain/reflect requests for legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5579	8/16/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Nightengale, Brian	Tallamy, Brad		legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding opioid task force.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5584	8/29/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad		Redacted email chain among client employees and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding responses to media reporting and inquiries.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications contain/reflect requests for legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5588	8/31/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Mitchell, Beth	Tallamy, Brad		Email among client employees prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-6 Filed 02/24/20 Page 4 of 24 PageID #: 1616

ABDCMDL_PRIV_5593	9/5/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie	Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, Beth; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email with attachments among client employees discussing request for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding media update. Email among client employees discussing	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	Response Pending.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5598	9/14/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth; Eddy, Julie; O'Sullivan, Ashley		legal advice (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding review of HDA recommendations. Email with attachment among client	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5599	9/18/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Heller, Stacie; Norton, Rita; Mitchell, Beth; Eddy, Julie; O'Sullivan, Ashley		employees discussing information prepared at the direction of in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Policy Committee meeting agenda.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5600	9/18/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	O'Sullivan, Ashley; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth; Heller, Stacie		Redacted email chain among client employees and in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) seeking and providing legal advice regarding draft press release.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communication to/from inhouse counsel is privileged as it related to provision of legal advice. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5601	9/18/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad		Email with attachments among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding analysis of media updates.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5608	9/27/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Lorenz-Fisher, Susan	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Laepple Hertzog, Kate; DiCicco, Diane; Mitchell, Beth; Tallamy, Brad; Weissman, Gabriel	Zonia, Theresa/Tierney	Email with attachments from client to in- house counsel seeking legal advice regarding opioid workshop.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5611	11/11/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Norton, Rita; Zimmerman, Chris; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David	Mattox, Keri; Gunning, Francesca; Murphy, Bennett	Email with attachment from client to in- house counsel seeking legal advice regarding review of draft opioid policy. Email among client employees discussing	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5612	11/11/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, Beth	Tallamy, Brad; O'Sullivan, Ashley	need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding draft opioid policy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5615	11/13/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel	Suter, June; Krusing, Clare/Reservoir; Schooling, Robert/Reservoir; Romero, Lauren; Sauerhaft, Roger/Slone PR; Zimmerman, Chris; Gunning, Francesca; Tallamy, Brad; Clark, Gina; Brandt, Darren/Slone PR; Norton, Rita; May, David; Parker, John/HDA; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; Webb, Matthew; Chou, John/Esq.; Traynor, Kate/Sloane PR; Zacchei, Dan/Sloane PR; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.		Email with attachments from client to in- house counsel and trade group seeking legal advice regarding presentation on the industry response to the opioid epidemic.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common Interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473148
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5616	11/13/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Gaddes, Kathy		Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding ABC opioid policy press release.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL PRIV 5617	11/13/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel		Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel regarding opioid strategy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5618		Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Mauch, Robert		Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding ABC opioid policy press release.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-6 Filed 02/24/20 Page 5 of 24 PageID #: 1617

		1				1	T	
					Email among client employees discussing			
					request for legal advice from in-house			
					counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.)		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	ABDC withdraws the privilege
					regarding strategy for conversation in	Attorney-Client		designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 5619	11/13/2017 Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad		response to opioid abuse.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
	, , , , , , , , , , ,	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Email with attachment among client			
					employees discussing legal advice		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	ABDC withdraws the privilege
					(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding draft	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 5626	11/28/2017 Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		statement on opioid operations.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
	==,==,=====,,====	,,			Email with attachment among client		The second secon	decament
					employees discussing public affairs			
					strategy prepared at the direction of the		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	ABDC withdraws the privilege
					ABC Legal Department regarding draft	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 5628	11/28/2017 Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel	Norton, Rita	statement.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
	==,==,======,,====	,,			Email with attachments among them		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	document
					employees discussing request for legal			
					advice from in-house counsel (Campbell,			
					Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding review of		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
			Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell,		educational programs to prevent opioid	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	
ABDCMDL PRIV 5632	11/29/2017 Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Beth		abuse.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending
7.555.1.5E_1 1114_5052	11/25/2017 Idilality, Blad	ivorton, nita	500.		Email with attachment among client	riicge	Through country inconsistent wy prior discovery fullings.	nesponse i enuma.
					employees discussing opioid task force	I	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
					slides prepared at the direction of ABC	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	
ABDCMDL PRIV 5641	12/7/2017 Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita; O'Sullivan, Ashley		Legal department.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending
ABBCIVIBE_FRIV_5041	12/1/2017 Tallally, Blad	raliality, brau	Norton, Nita, O Sumvan, Asmey		Legal department.	Filvliege	r rivilege callir is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rullings.	Response Fending.
					Email among client employees discussing			
					need for legal advice from in-house		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	ABDC withdraws the privilege
					counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.)	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	designation and will produce the
ADDCAADL DDIV FC43	43/0/2047 T-II B	Tallana Dand	Nontro Dita Hallan Ctaria	OlS alliana Anhlan	1		_ ·	'
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5642	12/8/2017 Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	O'Sullivan, Ashley	regarding legislative strategy.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
					Email with attachment among client		A44	
					employees discussing opioid task force		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
					slides prepared at the direction of ABC	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5643	12/8/2017 Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley; Norton, Rita		Legal department.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
					ample year discussing pood for legal advise		1	
					employees discussing need for legal advice	1	involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Subject	ABDC withdraws the privilege
					from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.;		involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a	
					Bak, Hyung/Esq.) regarding media	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5652	12/17/2017 Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Feehery, John/EFB Advocacy	Tallamy, Brad	response to the opioid crisis.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document
					Email with attachment among client			
					employees discussing opioid task force		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
					slides prepared at the direction of ABC	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5655	1/2/2018 Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Tallamy, Brad		Legal department.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
					Email among client employees discussing			ADDC with drawn 41 11
					information prepared at the direction of	1	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	ABDC withdraws the privilege
					ABC Legal Department regarding opioid	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5656	1/10/2018 Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		task force.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
					Email with attachments among client			
					employees discussing legal advice from	Attorney-Client	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
					ABC Legal Department regarding opioid	Privilege; Work	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	
ABDCMDL PRIV 5657	1/10/2018 Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad		taskforce.	Product	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,			Email with attachment among client	1	5 , , , , , ,	
			Meredith, Emily; O'Sullivan, Ashley;		employees discussing legal advice from	Attorney-Client	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	ABDC withdraws the privilege
			Mitchell, Vivienne; Davis, Laura; Tallamy,		ABC Legal Department regarding opioid	Privilege; Work	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 5658	1/15/2018 Tallamy, Brad	Scheidt, Scott	Brad		taskforce.	Product	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
552_15556	2, 25, 2010 Tallally, 5180	30					The same is mean state wy prior discovery fullings.	
					Email with attachments among client	I		
					employees discussing request for legal	İ	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney	
					advice from in-house counsel (Campbell,	I	involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Subject	ABDC withdraws the privilege
					Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding draft opinion	Attorney-Client	involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	designation and will produce the
ARDOMOL BRIV EGG	1/10/2018 Tallami, Br	Tallana Drad	Eachary John/EED Advaccous Marter Dit-					,
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5665	1/19/2018 Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Feehery, John/EFB Advocacy; Norton, Rita		piece on the opioid crisis.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
					Email from client to in-house counsel and	1	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney	
						I		ABDC withdraws the privilege
					consultant seeking legal advice regarding	1	involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Subject	
	l l				analysis of pagative -fftf:-'			
ABDCMDL PRIV 5666	1/24/2018 Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; O'Sullivan, Ashley	analysis of negative effects of opioid abuse.	Attorney-Client Privilege	involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	designation and will produce the

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-6 Filed 02/24/20 Page 6 of 24 PageID #: 1618

			1	1		IEmail with attachment among client	_		1
1						Email with attachment among client employees discussing opioid task force		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	ABDC withdraws the privilege
						slides prepared at the direction of ABC	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 5671	1/26/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Legal department.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	,
	-,,	,,	, ,			Email with attachment among client		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
						employees discussing opioid task force		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	ABDC withdraws the privilege
						slides prepared at the direction of ABC	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5672	1/26/2018	Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Tallamy, Brad		Legal department.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
						Email with attachment among client			A DDC - Sklade-over Albertanis Slave-
						employees discussing opioid task force		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	ABDC withdraws the privilege
						slides prepared at the direction of ABC	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5675	1/30/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Scheidt, Scott; Norton, Rita	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Legal department.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
						need for legal advice from in-house			
						counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.)		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	ABDC withdraws the privilege
						regarding request for review of draft	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 5706	2/16/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, Beth	Tallamy, Brad	advocacy materials.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
ABBCIVIBE_FRIV_3700	2/10/2018	ranarry, brau	Norton, Mta	Lohman, Donald/Esq./Mallinckrodt;	Tallatily, Drau	Email from client to manufacturer and	riiviiege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject	document
				Tyndall, Mark/Mallinckrodt; Naten,		manufacturer's counsel seeking legal	Attorney-Client	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a	ABDC subsequently produced the
				Derek/Mallinckrodt; Long,		advice regarding DEA clearinghouse	Privilege;	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL PRIV 5721	2/22/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Erika/Mallinckrodt	Tallamy, Brad; O'Sullivan, Ashley	proposal.	Common Interest	discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00473157
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,		, , , , , , , , ,				-
1						Email with attachments from client to in-		Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney	
1				Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Zimmerman,		house counsel seeking legal advice		involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject	ABDC withdraws the privilege
				Chris; May, David; Mays, Steve; Campbell,		regarding feedback on new federal	Attorney-Client	involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5729	2/27/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Elizabeth/Esq.	Mitchell, Beth; O'Sullivan, Ashley	legislation.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
						Email with attachments among client			
ı						employees discussing request for legal		Address of the second s	ABDC withdraws the privilege
						advice from in-house counsel (Campbell,	Attornou Client	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 5756	2/15/2010	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton Dito		Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding controlled substance media update.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	
ABDCIVIDE_PRIV_5756	3/13/2018	Tallattly, brau	Tallallly, brau	Norton, Rita		Email with attachments among client	Privilege	Privilege califf is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
						employees discussing opioid task force		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	ABDC withdraws the privilege
						slides prepared at the direction of ABC	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 5760	3/16/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Scheidt, Scott; Norton, Rita	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Legal Department.	Privilege	I .	document
			- "	, , ,		<u> </u>		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney	
ı						Email between client and consultant		involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Subject	
1						Email between client and consultant discussing legal advice from outside	Attorney-Client	involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a	ABDC subsequently produced the
							Attorney-Client Privilege;		document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5761	3/16/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Norton, Rita	discussing legal advice from outside		involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a	, ,,
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5761	3/16/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Norton, Rita	discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe	Privilege;	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5761	3/16/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Norton, Rita	discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe	Privilege;	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473159
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5761	3/16/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Freitas, Kristen/HDA		discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings.	Privilege;	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473159 ABDC maintains the privilege
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5761	3/16/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.;	discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings. Redacted email from in-house counsel	Privilege;	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473159 ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5761	3/16/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David; Chou,	discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings. Redacted email from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) providing legal	Privilege; Common Interest	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473159 ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications reflect
					Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Esposito,	discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings. Redacted email from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) providing legal advice regarding response to media	Privilege; Common Interest	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473159 ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications reflect legal advice from in-house counsel.
		Tallamy, Brad Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad Tallamy, Brad	Freitas, Kristen/HDA Zimmerman, Chris	Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David; Chou,	discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings. Redacted email from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) providing legal	Privilege; Common Interest	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473159 ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications reflect
					Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Esposito,	discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings. Redacted email from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) providing legal advice regarding response to media inquiry.	Privilege; Common Interest	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473159 ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications reflect legal advice from in-house counsel.
					Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Esposito,	discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings. Redacted email from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) providing legal advice regarding response to media inquiry. Email among client employees discussing	Privilege; Common Interest Attorney-Client Privilege	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473159 ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications reflect legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5791	4/17/2018				Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Esposito,	discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings. Redacted email from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) providing legal advice regarding response to media inquiry. Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding opioid policy.	Privilege; Common Interest Attorney-Client Privilege	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473159 ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications reflect legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same. ABDC withdraws the privilege
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5791	4/17/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Zimmerman, Chris	Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Esposito,	discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings. Redacted email from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) providing legal advice regarding response to media inquiry. Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding opioid policy. Email with attachment among client	Privilege; Common Interest Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473159 ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications reflect legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same. ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5761 ABDCMDL_PRIV_5791 ABDCMDL_PRIV_5792	4/17/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Zimmerman, Chris	Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Esposito,	discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings. Redacted email from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) providing legal advice regarding response to media inquiry. Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding opioid policy. Email with attachment among client employees discussing talking points	Privilege; Common Interest Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473159 ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications reflect legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same. ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document ABDC withdraws the privilege
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5791 ABDCMDL_PRIV_5792	4/17/2018 4/17/2018	Tallamy, Brad Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad Scheidt, Scott	Zimmerman, Chris Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Esposito,	discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings. Redacted email from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) providing legal advice regarding response to media inquiry. Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding opioid policy. Email with attachment among client employees discussing talking points prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal	Privilege; Common Interest Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Attorney-Client	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473159 ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications reflect legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same. ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5791 ABDCMDL_PRIV_5792	4/17/2018 4/17/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Zimmerman, Chris	Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Esposito,	discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings. Redacted email from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) providing legal advice regarding response to media inquiry. Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding opioid policy. Email with attachment among client employees discussing talking points	Privilege; Common Interest Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473159 ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications reflect legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same. ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document ABDC withdraws the privilege
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5791 ABDCMDL_PRIV_5792	4/17/2018 4/17/2018	Tallamy, Brad Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad Scheidt, Scott	Zimmerman, Chris Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Esposito,	discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings. Redacted email from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) providing legal advice regarding response to media inquiry. Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding opioid policy. Email with attachment among client employees discussing talking points prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding S. 483.	Privilege; Common Interest Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Attorney-Client	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473159 ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications reflect legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same. ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5791 ABDCMDL_PRIV_5792	4/17/2018 4/17/2018	Tallamy, Brad Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad Scheidt, Scott	Zimmerman, Chris Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Esposito,	discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings. Redacted email from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) providing legal advice regarding response to media inquiry. Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding opioid policy. Email with attachment among client employees discussing talking points prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding S. 483. Email among client employees discussing	Privilege; Common Interest Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Attorney-Client	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473159 ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications reflect legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same. ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5791 ABDCMDL_PRIV_5792	4/17/2018 4/17/2018	Tallamy, Brad Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad Scheidt, Scott	Zimmerman, Chris Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Esposito,	discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings. Redacted email from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) providing legal advice regarding response to media inquiry. Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding opioid policy. Email with attachment among client employees discussing talking points prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding S. 483. Email among client employees discussing request for legal advice from in-house	Privilege; Common Interest Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473159 ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications reflect legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same. ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5791 ABDCMDL_PRIV_5792	4/17/2018 4/17/2018	Tallamy, Brad Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad Scheidt, Scott	Zimmerman, Chris Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Esposito,	discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings. Redacted email from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) providing legal advice regarding response to media inquiry. Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding opioid policy. Email with attachment among client employees discussing talking points prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding S. 483. Email among client employees discussing request for legal advice from in-house counsel (Casalenuovo, Chris/Esq.)	Privilege; Common Interest Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473159 ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications reflect legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same. ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document ABDC subsequently produced the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5791 ABDCMDL_PRIV_5792 ABDCMDL_PRIV_5810	4/17/2018 4/17/2018 4/25/2018	Tallamy, Brad Tallamy, Brad Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad Scheidt, Scott Tallamy, Brad	Zimmerman, Chris Tallamy, Brad Meredith, Emily; Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Esposito,	discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings. Redacted email from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) providing legal advice regarding response to media inquiry. Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding opioid policy. Email with attachment among client employees discussing talking points prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding S. 483. Email among client employees discussing request for legal advice from in-house counsel (Casalenuovo, Chris/Esq.) regarding thoughts on federal suspicious	Privilege; Common Interest Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege;	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473159 ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications reflect legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same. ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5791	4/17/2018 4/17/2018 4/25/2018	Tallamy, Brad Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad Scheidt, Scott	Zimmerman, Chris Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Esposito,	discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings. Redacted email from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) providing legal advice regarding response to media inquiry. Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding opioid policy. Email with attachment among client employees discussing talking points prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding S. 483. Email among client employees discussing request for legal advice from in-house counsel (Casalenuovo, Chris/Esq.) regarding thoughts on federal suspicious order monitoring legislation.	Privilege; Common Interest Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473159 ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications reflect legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same. ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document ABDC subsequently produced the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5791 ABDCMDL_PRIV_5792 ABDCMDL_PRIV_5810	4/17/2018 4/17/2018 4/25/2018	Tallamy, Brad Tallamy, Brad Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad Scheidt, Scott Tallamy, Brad	Zimmerman, Chris Tallamy, Brad Meredith, Emily; Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Esposito,	discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings. Redacted email from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) providing legal advice regarding response to media inquiry. Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding opioid policy. Email with attachment among client employees discussing talking points prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding S. 483. Email among client employees discussing request for legal advice from in-house counsel (Casalenuovo, Chris/Esq.) regarding thoughts on federal suspicious order monitoring legislation.	Privilege; Common Interest Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege;	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473159 ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications reflect legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same. ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5791 ABDCMDL_PRIV_5792 ABDCMDL_PRIV_5810	4/17/2018 4/17/2018 4/25/2018	Tallamy, Brad Tallamy, Brad Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad Scheidt, Scott Tallamy, Brad	Zimmerman, Chris Tallamy, Brad Meredith, Emily; Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Esposito,	discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings. Redacted email from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) providing legal advice regarding response to media inquiry. Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding opioid policy. Email with attachment among client employees discussing talking points prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding S. 483. Email among client employees discussing request for legal advice from in-house counsel (Casalenuovo, Chris/Esq.) regarding thoughts on federal suspicious order monitoring legislation.	Privilege; Common Interest Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege;	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473159 ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications reflect legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same. ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-6 Filed 02/24/20 Page 7 of 24 PageID #: 1619

ABDCMDL_PRIV_5870	5/28/2015	5 Norton, Rita	Anderson, Mary	Norton, Rita		Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding Senator Manchin letter.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5871	6/3/2015	5 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Eddy, Julie		email among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of HDMA counsel (Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDMA) regarding draft legislation.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common Interest	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00472851
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5872	6/7/2015	5 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad		Email with attachments among client employees discussing legal advice from inhouse counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator Manchin meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation. Communication circulates and discusses work product created by in-house counsel.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5873	6/7/2015	5 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global; Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global; Tallamy, Brad		Email with attachments among client employees and consultant discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator Manchin meeting.		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation. Communication circulates and discusses work product created by in-house counsel.
ABDCMDL PRIV 5874	6/16/2015	5 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global		Email from client to consultant discussing request for legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator Manchin letter.	Attorney-Client Privilege	does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation. Communication forwards and discusses request for legal advice from in-house counsel.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5877	6/18/2015	5 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Email with attachment from client to consultant discussing legal advice from inhouse counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator Manchin letter.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Inira party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation. Communication forwards and discusses request for legal advice from in-house counsel.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5884	6/26/2015	5 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Anderson, Mary		Email with attachments among client employees discussing legal advice from inhouse counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator Manchin meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communication to/from inhouse counsel is privileged as it related to provision of legal advice.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5885	6/26/2015	5 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita		Email with attachments among client employees reflecting legal advice from inhouse counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator Manchin meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communication to/from inhouse counsel is privileged as it related to provision of legal advice.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5886	6/29/2015	5 Norton, Rita	Davis, Laura	Davis, Laura; Tallamy, Brad; Anderson, Mary; Money, Jason		Redacted email from client to in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) seeking legal advice regarding revisions to state official presentation.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted email contains request for legal advice to in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL PRIV 5895	7/20/2015	5 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Chou, John/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Mosley, Amy; DiCenso, Frank; Money, Jason; Cincione, K./Vorys; Eddy, Julie; Hobson, David/FIA Advisors		Email with attachment from client to in- house counsel and consultants seeking legal advice regarding DeWine meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5897		5 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global; Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global; Jenkins, Tim/Esq./Nossaman; Quinn, Paul/Esq./Nossaman; Mortier, Jeff/RVMB; Tongour, Michael/TCH Group; Holsclaw, Brad/TCH Group; Wiley, D./TCH Group; Tallamy, Brad; Money, Jason		Email from client to consultants and outside counsel seeking legal advice regarding DEA recommendations.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-6 Filed 02/24/20 Page 8 of 24 PageID #: 1620

		•				•	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5898	8/18/2015 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Holsclaw, Brad/TCH Group; Tongour, Michael/TCH Group; Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global; Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global; Jenkins, T./Esq./Nossman; Walter, Sarah/Walter Group; Quinn, Paul/Esq./Nossaman; Mortier, J./RMVB	Money, Jason; Tallamy, Brad	Email with attachments from client to outside counsel and consultants seeking legal advice regarding S. 483.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
					Email with attachments among client		Distribution is not identified. Attorney does not appear
					employees discussing legal advice from		to be directly involved in communication. Subject of ABDC subsequently produced the
					HDMA counsel (Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq.)	Attorney-Client	communication involves a business matter. Privilege document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5899	8/19/2015 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita		regarding Rx abuse meeting.	Privilege	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. ABDCMDL00472854 Inira party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney
					Email among client employee and		does not appear to be directly involved in
					consultant discussing need for legal advice		communication. Subject of communication involves a ABDC subsequently produced the
ABDCMDL PRIV 5901	8/25/2015 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDMA		from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding S. 483.	Privilege; Common interest	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior document identified in this entry at discovery rulings. ABDCMDL00472876
ABDCIVIDE_FRIV_3901	6/23/2013 Norton, Nita	Norton, Kita	Freitas, Kristeri/HDIVIA			Common interest	Inird party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney
					Email from client to consultant discussing		does not appear to be directly involved in
					legal advice from outside counsel (Quinn,	Attarnay Client	communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 5905	1/16/2016 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global		Paul/Esq./Nossaman) regarding opioid- related legislation.	Attorney-Client Privilege	discovery rulings.
	3, 33, 333 7.3. 133., 133.				<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Inira party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney
					Email from client to consultant discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Quinn,		does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a ABDC withdraws the privilege
					Paul/Esq./Nossaman) regarding opioid-	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5906	1/17/2016 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global		related legislation.	Privilege	discovery rulings. document
					employees discussing draft presentation		Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does
					prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal		not appear to be directly involved in communication. ABDC withdraws the privilege
					Department regarding Representative	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business matter. designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5907	2/1/2016 Norton, Rita	Money, Jason	DiCenso, Frank; Delac, Frank	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie	Stivers meeting.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5911	2/11/2016 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Money, Jason; Eddy, Julie	Anderson, Mary	Email with attachments among client employees discussing HDMA briefing regarding Masters litigation.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. ABDCMDL00472934 ABDCMDL00472934
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5913	2/17/2016 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Mauch, Robert	Heller, Stacie; Zimmerman, Chris; Hirst, D.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Tallamy, Brad; Money, Jason; Eddy, Julie; Meehan, F.; Davis, Laura	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding executive committee briefing.	Attorney-Client Privilege	communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5914	2/22/2016 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Money, Jason; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie; Eddy, Julie		Email with attachments among client employees discussing legal advice from inhouse counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Masters amicus brief.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5924	4/2/2016 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Zimmerman, Chris	Lane, C.; May, David; Neu, Dave; Mauch, Robert	Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding presidential announcement regarding opioid crisis.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5927	5/3/2016 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie		Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding response to Senator Schumer statement.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5932	7/13/2016 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Hartman, S.	Eddy, Julie; Casalenuovo, Chris/Esq.; Heller, Stacie, Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	Redacted email chain among client employees and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) seeking and providing legal advice regarding customer education materials.	Attorney-Client Privilege	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted emails from/to in-house counsel request and provide legal advice. Description revised to reflect same.

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-6 Filed 02/24/20 Page 9 of 24 PageID #: 1621

	1			_		1		Hargo distribution indicatos that communication is not	1
						Email from client to in-house counsel		Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
					Caralina David/Fax - Campball Flinabath/Fax -		Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	
ADDCAADL DDW FO22	0/40/2046 N	Bit-	North Dite		Caroline, David/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.;	seeking legal advice regarding opposition	· ·		
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5933	8/18/2016 N	orton, Kita	Norton, Rita	May, David; Zimmerman, Chris	Mays, Stephen; Tallamy, Brad; Hartman, Sharon	to Marino bill.	Privilege	discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney	document
								does not appear to be directly involved in	
						Email among client employees and HDA	Attorney-Client	communication. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC subsequently produced the
				Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Gallenagh,		counsel regarding opposition to Marino	Privilege;	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL PRIV 5934	8/18/2016 N	orton Pita	Norton, Rita	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Tallamy, Brad	bill	Common interest	discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00473058
ABDCIVIDE_PRIV_5934	8/18/2018 N	orton, Kita	Norton, Kita	Elizabeth/Esq./HDA	Tallatriy, Brau	DIII.	Common interest	discovery runings.	ABDCIVIDLU0473038
						Email with attachments with attachments		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
						prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal		communication. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
				Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Money,		Department for the purpose of providing	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 5935	9/18/2016 No	orton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Jason		legal advice re draft Board Materials.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document
	5, 25, 2525			1		Email among client employees discussing		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	a document
						legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou,		communication. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
			Weissman,			John/Esq.) regarding industry talking	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 5936	11/4/2016 N	lorton, Rita	Gabriel	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad		points.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document
		· · ·					-	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
						Email with attachment from client to in-		communication. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
						house counsel seeking legal advice	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5937	11/4/2016 N	lorton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel	Chou, John/Esq.; Norton, Rita	regarding HDA response document.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document
								Subject of communication involves a	
						Email from client to in-house counsel		business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. In-	ABDC withdraws the privilege
			Weissman,			seeking legal advice regarding draft	Attorney-Client	house counsel is merely copied on communication.	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5938	11/4/2016 N	orton, Rita	Gabriel	Norton, Rita	Chou, John/Esq.	language on DEA reporting system.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
								Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	A DDC authoracionath, manduned the
						Email among client employees discussing		communication. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC subsequently produced the
						legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou,	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5939	11/5/2016 N	orton, Rita	Heller, Stacie	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	John/Esq.) regarding HDA messaging.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00472845
						- 16			ABDC withdraws the privilege
						Email from in-house counsel to client and	Attorney-Client	Topic of communication is a business matter, and not a	
				Barry, Ellen/CAH; Morford, Craig/CAH;		distributors providing legal advice	Privilege;	legal one. Third party involvement destroys privilege.	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5940	11/6/2016 N	orton, Rita	Chou, John/Esq.	Schechter, Lori/McKesson	Norton, Rita; Weissman, Gabriel; Clark, Gina	regarding opioid messaging. Email with attachment from client to in-	Common interest	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
								Communication involves a business and/or non local	ABDC withdraws the privilege
			Maissman			house counsel seeking legal advice	Attarnay Client	Communication involves a busness and/or non-legal	designation and will produce the
ADDCAADL DDIV FOAA	11 /5/2015 N	Bit-	Weissman,	Marrie Bahast Charlet Island	Clark Circs Nanton Dite	regarding draft industry talking points on	Attorney-Client	matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5941	11/6/2016 N	orton, Kita	Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.	Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita	opioid abuse.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document
					Barry, Ellen/CAH; Schechter, Lori/McKesson;	Email from in-house counsel to client and	Attorney-Client	Topic of communication is a business matter, and not a	ABDC subsequently produced the
					Weissman, Gabriel; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Clark,	distributors providing legal advice	Privilege;	legal one. Third party involvement destroys privilege.	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5942	11/7/2016 N	lorton Pita	Chou, John/Esq.	_	Gina	regarding opioid talking points.	Common interest	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	·
ADDCIVIDE_FIXIV_J342	11///2016 N	orton, nita	Cilou, Joilit/ESQ.	Miserifivieresson, Notion, fild	Gilla	regarding opioid taiking points.	common interest	Trance claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery fullings.	ADDCIVIDLU04/2040
								Large distribution indicates that communication is not	
					Mauch, Robert; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou,			privileged. Attorney does not appear to be directly	
					John/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Clark,	Email from client to in-house counsel		involved in communication. Subject of communication	ABDC withdraws the privilege
			1		Gina; Guttman, Tim; Mattox, Keri; Moyer, Lauren;	seeking legal advice regarding legislative	Attorney-Client	involves a business matter. Privilege claim is	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 5943	1/27/2017 N	lorton, Rita	Norton, Rita		Tallamy, Brad	efforts.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
	2/2//2017	,			· · //		0-		
			1			Email with attachments among client			
			1			employees discussing legal advice from in-		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
						house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding		communication. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
			1			news article about opioid protest.	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 5944	3/2/2017 N	lorton, Rita	Anderson, Mary	Norton, Rita			Privilege	discovery rulings.	document
	-,-,2017	,	,,	,		Email among client employees discussing			
						legal advice from in-house counsel		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
			1			(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding		communication. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
						statement for press in response to opioid	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 5945	3/3/2017 N	lorton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel	Norton, Rita	crisis.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document
	-,-,,	,	,,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				, , , ,	

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-6 Filed 02/24/20 Page 10 of 24 PageID #: 1622

				1	1		1	1	ABDC maintains the privilege
									designation but will produce the
									document in a redacted form.
						Redacted email containing request for		Large distribution indicates that communication is not	Redacted communication to in-
				Weissman, Gabriel; Norton, Rita; Heller,	Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.;	legal advice from in-house counsel		privileged. Third party involvement destroys privilege.	house counsel is privileged as it
				Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie;	Mattox, Keri; Clark, Gina; DeAtley, Danny/ICS;	(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business matter.	seeks legal advice. Description
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5946	3/9/2017	Norton, Rita	Moyer, Lauren	Mitchell, Beth	Romero, Lauren; Putt, Jordan	response to media inquiry.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	
									ABDC maintains the privilege
									designation but will produce the
									document in a redacted form.
						Redacted email among client employees		Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does	Redacted communications reflect
						discussing need for legal advice from ABDC		not appear to be directly involved in communication.	legal strategy discussions with ABDC
						Legal Department regarding meetings with		Subject of communication involves a business matter.	Legal Department. Description
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5948	3/15/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, Beth	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie	state officials.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	revised to reflect same.
									ABDC maintains the privilege
					May, David; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Mattox,				designation but will produce the
					Keri; Guttman, Tim; Zimmerman, Chris; Moyer,				document in a redacted form.
					Lauren; Clark, Gina; Mauch, Robert; DeAtley,	Empil from client to in house counsel and		Large distribution indicates that communication is not	Redacted communications to/from
				Weissman, Gabriel; Chou, John/Esq.;	Danny /ICS; Romero, L.; Putt, Jordan; DiCicco, Diane/Tierney; Hummel, Kristin/Tierney; Dodson,	Email from client to in-house counsel and consultants seeking legal advice regarding	Attorney-Client	Large distribution indicates that communication is not	in-house counsel discussing legal
ABDCMDL PRIV 5950	5/0/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Sydney/Tierney Sydney/Tierney	Energy and Commerce inquiry.	Privilege	privileged. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	
ADDCIVIDE_FIXIV_5930	3/3/2017	Norton, Nita	Norton, Mta	Campbell, Elizabetti/Esq.	Sydney/ Herriey	Energy and commerce inquiry.	riiviiege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not	advice.
				Tallamy, Brad; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.;		Email with attachment from client to in-	1	privileged. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
				Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Eddy,		house counsel seeking legal advice	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5952	6/5/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Julie; Chou, John/Esq.		regarding article.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document
						Email with attachments among client		Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does	ABDC withdraws the privilege
						employees discussing information		not appear to be directly involved in communication.	
ADDCAADL DRIV FOFO	C /E /2017	Name - Dita	Nontro Dito	Andrew Man		1: -	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5953	0/3/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Anderson, Mary		Department regarding board meeting. Email with attachments among client	Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney	document
						employees discussing legal advice from		does not appear to be directly involved in	
						outside counsel (Nicholson,		communication. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
				Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad;		Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public policy	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5960	6/20/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Eddy, Julie		priorities.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document
						Email with attachments among client		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney	
						employees discussing legal advice from		does not appear to be directly involved in	ABDC withdraws the privilege
						outside counsel (Nicholson,	A + + Cli +	communication. Subject of communication involves a	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 5961	6/21/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell D	Hollor Stanio, Eddy, Julio	Tallamy, Drad. Navtan Dita	Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public policy priorities.		business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	
ABDCIVIDL_PRIV_5961	6/21/2017	NOTION, KILA	Mitchell, B.	Heller, Stacie; Eddy, Julie	Tallamy, Brad; Norton, Rita	Email with attachments among client	Privilege	Inira party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney	document
						employees discussing legal advice from		does not appear to be directly involved in	
						outside counsel (Nicholson,		communication. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
						Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public policy	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5963	6/26/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Eddy, Julie		priorities.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document
						Email with attachments among client			ABDC maintains the privilege
						Email with attachments among client		Attornov does not appear to be directly involved in	designation. Communication
						employees discussing legal advice from in- house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.)		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a	forwards and discusses legal advice
						regarding draft response to Energy &	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	from in-house counsel. Description
ABDCMDL PRIV 5965	6/29/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Davis, Laura		Commerce Committee letter.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	revised to reflect same.
	5,25,2017	,		,					- 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.
						Email with attachment among client	1	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
						employees discussing need for legal advice		communication. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
						from in-house counsel (Campbell,	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5966	6/30/2017	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie	Norton, Rita; Eddy, Julie		Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Masters.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document
						Email with attachments among client		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
						employees discussing legal advice from in-	1	communication. Subject of communication involves a	
						house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	
ABDCMDL PRIV 5967	6/30/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global	O'Sullivan, Ashley/Roberti Global	controlled substance media update.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
	0,30/2017	con, mea	. vorcon, mea	nosera, vincent nosera diosal	o dament, Admey/Robert Global	controlled substance media apaste.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
									Indiana and a second
						Email from client to in-house counsel		communication. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
				Norton, Rita; Clark, Gina; Weissman,		Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding proposed	Attorney-Client	communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-6 Filed 02/24/20 Page 11 of 24 PageID #: 1623

ABDCMDL_PRIV_5996	8/25/2017	Norton, Rita	Reed, Chuck	Mitchell, B.; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	Reinardy, Joseph/CenterX	Email with attachment among client employees discussing legal advice from NACDS counsel (Nicholson, Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public policy priorities for curbing opioid related dependence, overdose and death.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5994	8/23/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	O'Sullivan, Ashley		Redacted email chain among client employees and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding responses to media reporting and inquiries.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications contain/reflect requests for legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5992	8/23/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, Beth; Eddy, Julie; O'Sullivan, Ashley	legal advice (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding responses to the opioid epidemic.	Attorney-Client Privilege	communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5991	8/23/2017	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel	Norton, Rita	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Tallamy, Brad	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding public statements on the opioid crisis. Email among client employees discussing	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Inhouse counsel is merely copied on communication. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5990	8/22/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Gansler, Doug/Esq./Buckley Sander		Email with attachments from client to outside counsel seeking legal advice regarding Connecticut AG presentation.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation. Email contains request for legal advice from outside counsel. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5988	8/19/2017	Norton, Rita	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Weissman, Gabriel; Clark, Gina; Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie; Moyer, Lauren; Meluso, Ginette; Hummel, Kristin/Tierney, McConnell, Kathy/Tierney	Redacted email chain among client employees and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou, : John/Esq.) seeking and providing legal advice regarding draft editorial.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted portion of document reflects legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5987	8/19/2017	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		Email among client employees discussing request for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding draft opinion piece on the opioid crisis.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5986		Norton, Rita	Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.	Weissman, Gabriel; Clark, Gina	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie; Moyer, Lauren; Meluso, Ginette; Hummel, Kristin/Tierney; McConnell, Kathy	Email with attachment from in-house counsel to client providing legal advice regarding draft editorial on the opioid crisis.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation. Email contains legal advice/work product provided by inhouse counsel.
ABDCMDL PRIV 5985	8/18/2017	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		Email with attachment among client employees discussing legal advice from inhouse counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding opioid task force meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL PRIV 5982	8/7/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Tallamy, Brad; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Eddy, Julie; O'Sullivan, Ashley		Email with attachments from NACDS Legal providing legal advice regarding opioid workgroup.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Inird party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5976	7/13/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita	Davis, Laura	Email with attachment among client employees discussing legal advice from inhouse counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding CWAG meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-6 Filed 02/24/20 Page 12 of 24 PageID #: 1624

					_	TEMPLE AMARA PILARE AMARANAS ALPERTAS		_	
						request for legal advice from in-house		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
						counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.)		communication. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
ABDCMDL PRIV 5997	0/20/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita		regarding review of letter on solutions to the opioid crisis.	Attorney-Client Privilege	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5997	6/26/2017	NOTION, KILA	Mitterell, B.	NOTION, RILA		the opioid crisis.	Privilege	discovery runnigs.	ABDC maintains the privilege
									designation but will produce the
									document in a redacted form.
						Redacted email chain among client employees and in-house counsel		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	Redacted communications
						(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing legal		communication. Subject of communication involves a	contain/reflect requests for legal
				Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad;		strategy regarding responses to media	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	advice from in-house counsel.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5998	8/29/2017	Norton, Rita	Eddy, Julie	Mitchell, Beth		reporting and inquiries.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	Description revised to reflect same.
									ABDC maintains the privilege
									designation but will produce the
						Redacted email chain among client			document in a redacted form.
						employees and in-house counsel		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	Redacted communications
						(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing legal		communication. Subject of communication involves a	contain/reflect requests for legal
						strategy regarding responses to media	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	advice from in-house counsel.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5999	8/29/2017	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		reporting and inquiries.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	Description revised to reflect same.
		1							ABDC maintains the privilege
									designation but will produce the
		1				Redacted email chain among client			document in a redacted form. Redacted communications
						employees and in-house counsel		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	contain/reflect requests for legal
						(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding responses to media	Attorney-Client	communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	advice from in-house counsel.
ABDCMDL PRIV 6008	9/5/2017	Norton, Rita	Eddy, Julie	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad		reporting and inquiries.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	Description revised to reflect same.
	5,5,252			Jenkins, him/Esq./19033aman, O Neill,					
				John/Harbinger; Irizarry, Steven/Roberti		For all with other housest and on a literat			
				Global; Tongour, Mike/TCH Group; White, Joel/Horizon; Roberti, Vincent/Roberti		Email with attachment among client employees and consultant discussing		Large distribution indicates that communication is not	
				Global; Simpson, Al/Mercury, LLC;		information prepared at the direction of		privileged. Third party involvement destroys privilege.	ABDC withdraws the privilege
				Cincione, Karen/Vorys; Niehaus,	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, Beth; Eddy,	the ABC Legal Department regarding	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business matter.	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6009	9/5/2017	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Thomas/Vorys	Julie; O'Sullivan, Ashley	communications strategy.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
						Email with attachments among client			
						employees discussing legal advice from		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney	
						NACDS counsel (Nicholson,		does not appear to be directly involved in	A DDC withholes we the contribute
						Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public policy		communication. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
ABDCMDL PRIV 6010	0/9/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Heller, Stacie; Norton, Rita; Eddy, Julie; Tallamy, Brad; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Reed, Chuck; Kounelis, Peter	priorities for curbing opioid related dependence, overdose and death.	Attorney-Client Privilege	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6010	9/6/2017	NOTION, KILA	Witteriell, B.	Tallarily, Brau, O Sullivari, Ashley	senkins, rimi esq., rrossaman, o rrem,	dependence, overdose and death.	Privilege	discovery runnigs.	document
					John/Harbinger; Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global;				
					Tongour, Michael/TCH Group; White,	Email among alight ampleyees and			
					Joel/Horizon; Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global; Simpson, Al/Mercury, LLC; Cincione, Karen/Vorys;	Email among client employees and consultants discussing information		Large distribution indicates that communication is not	
					Niehaus, Thomas/Vorys; Norton, Rita; Heller,	prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal		privileged. Third party involvement destroys privilege.	ABDC withdraws the privilege
					Stacie; Mitchell, Beth; Eddy, Julie; O'Sullivan,	Department regarding communications	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business matter.	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6014	9/15/2017	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Ashley	strategy.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
						Email with attachment among client	1	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does	
		1				employees discussing information	1	not appear to be directly involved in communication.	ABDC withdraws the privilege
		1				prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business matter.	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6019	9/19/2017	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Department regarding opioid task force.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
						Email with attachment among client	1	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does	
		1				employees discussing information	1	not appear to be directly involved in communication.	ABDC withdraws the privilege
		1		Tallamy, Brad; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie;		prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business matter.	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6020	9/22/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	O'Sullivan, Ashley		Department regarding opioid task force.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
						consultant discussing the need for legal		does not appear to be directly involved in	
						advice from in-house counsel (Fox,		communication. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
		1				Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 6024	9/25/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	James, Kevin/US Bio Services	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	meeting agenda.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document

			1				1	1	T
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6028	9/28/2017	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6029	9/28/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad		employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6030	10/2/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie	O'Sullivan, Ashley	employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6031	10/2/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Heller, Stacie; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6032	10/2/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Benske, John	Heller, Stacie; Norton, Rita	employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs strategy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6033	10/2/2017	Norton, Rita	Benske, John	Mitchell, B.	Heller, Stacie; Norton, Rita	Email among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs strategy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6034	10/5/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.		Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie; O'Sullivan, Ashley	consultant discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senate committee opioid hearings.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL PRIV 6035	10/8/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie		Email with attachments among client employees discussing legal advice from NACDS counsel (Nicholson, Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public policy priorities for curbing opioid related dependence, overdose and death.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL PRIV 6039	10/9/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Clark, Gina; Moyer, Lauren; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris	Email from client to in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding Washington Post article.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Counsel is merely copied on communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL PRIV 6040	10/10/2017	Norton, Rita	Romero, Lauren	Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Norton, Rita; Webb, Matthew; Schultz, Andrew; Clark, Gina; Moyer, Lauren	Putt, Jordan	Email with attachment from client to in- house counsel seeking legal advice regarding proposed controlled substance social media strategy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6041	10/11/2017		Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	email with attachments among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Casalenuovo, Chris/Esq.) regarding NACDS policy meeting.		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6043	10/11/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Benske, John; Mitchell, Beth	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email among client employees discussing strategy at the direction of in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding proposed opioid crisis social media outreach.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6044	10/11/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, B.; O'Sullivan, Ashley; Benske, John		Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding controlled substance social media policy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document

			rweissman, gapner, barry, eilen/CAn;	TIVILLET, KULTI/FIDA; KEILV, PALTICK/FIDA; GAILETIARTI.	T		T	
			Chasen, Kristin/McKesson; Slone,	Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA;	Email with attachments among trade		Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third	
			P./McKesson; Ganley, Joe/McKesson;	Simonett, Farah/HDA DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA;	group, distributors and client employees		party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of	
			Callinicos, Sean/CAH; McGrath,	Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA; Krusing, Clare/Reservoir;	discussing information prepared at the	Attorney-Client	communication involves a business matter. Attorney	ABDC subsequently produced the
			Rebecca/CAH; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad;	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	direction of the ABC Legal Department	Privilege;	involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL PRIV 6075	10/17/2017 Norte	on Rita Parker John/HDA	Nelson, Jennifer/McKesson	Mallory/Reservoir	regarding draft media response.	Common interest	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00472747
	==,=,,===	,		Gallenagn, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Willer, Kuth/HDA;				7.556.115260.1727.17
				Cosgrove, Jewelyn/HDA; Downs, Raissa/TDY			Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third	
			Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Slone,	Consulting; Young, Jennifer/TDY Consulting; Smith,	Email with attachment among client		party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of	
			Pete/McKesson; Norton, Rita; Tallamy,	Pamela/TDY Consulting; Menn, Buddy/Brown	employees, consultants, trade group and	Attorney-Client	communication involves a business matter. Attorney	ABDC subsequently produced the
		Freitas,	Brad; Mitchell, B.; McGrath, R./CAH;	Rudnick; Thorsen, Carl/French; French,	distributors seeking legal advice regarding	Privilege;	involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6081	10/18/2017 Norte	on, Rita Kristen/HDA	Callinicos, Sean/CAH	Alec/French	draft letter for elected officials.	Common interest	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00472755
					Email with attachment among client		Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party	
			Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Slone,		employees, trade group and distributors		involvement destroys privilege. Subject of	ABDC subsequently produced the
			Pete/McKesson; Norton, Rita; Tallamy,		discussing need for legal advice from the	Attorney-Client	communication involves a business matter. Attorney	1 '''
		Freitas,	Brad; Mitchell, B.; McGrath, R./CAH;	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA;	ABC Legal Department regarding change	Privilege;	involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6082	10/18/2017 Norte	on, Rita Kristen/HDA	Callinicos, Sean/CAH	Cosgrove, Jewelyn/HDA	to Senate bill.	Common interest	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00472757
			Barry, Ellen/CAH; Chasen,	Miller, Ruth/HDA; Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Gallenagh,				
			Kristin/McKesson; Slone, P./McKesson;	Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA;	Email with attachment among consultant,		Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party	
			Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Callinicos,	Simonett, Farah/HDA DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA;	outside counsel, client employees, trade		involvement destroys privilege. Subject of	
		1	Sean/CAH; McGrath, Rebecca/CAH;	Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA; Krusing, Clare/Reservoir;	group and distributors discussing need for	Attorney-Client	communication involves a business matter. Attorney	ABDC subsequently produced the
		Krising,	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Nelson,	Schooling, Robert/Reservoir; Ward,	legal advice regarding revised language for		involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege	document identified in this entry at
ARDCAIDL BRILL CORS	10/18/2017 North							•
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6083	10/18/2017 Norte	con, Rita Clare/Reservoir	Jennifer/McKesson	Mallory/Reservoir	Senate bill.	Common interest	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00472762
					Email among trade group, distributors and		Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party	
			Callinicos, Sean/CAH; McGrath, R./CAH;		client employees discussing information		involvement destroys privilege. Subject of	
			Slone, P./McKesson; Ganley,		prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal	Attorney-Client	communication involves a business matter. Attorney	ABDC subsequently produced the
		Freitas,	Joe/McKesson; Norton, Rita; Tallamy,	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Cosgrove,	Department regarding response to letter	Privilege;	involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL PRIV 6091	10/18/2017 Norte		Brad	Jewelyn/HDA; Miller, Ruth	from elected official.	Common interest	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00472766
ABBENBE_TRIV_0031	10/10/2017 10/10	ion, rata	Brad	Jeweiyii/11024, Willier, Ruen	Tom elected official.	COMMINION MILETESE	etain is inconsistent wy prior discovery runings.	ABBEINBEGG472700
					Email with attachments from consultant to			
					client discussing need for legal advice from		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of	
					outside counsel (Graefe,		communication involves a business matter. Attorney	ABDC withdraws the privilege
		Simpson,			Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding	Attorney-Client	involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 6092	10/18/2017 Norte	on, Rita Al/Mercury, LLC	Norton, Rita		proposed changes to Senate bill.	Privilege	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
			,			-	, , , , ,	
					Email among client employees discussing		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
					need for legal advice from outside counsel		communication. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
					(Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law)	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6093	10/18/2017 Norte	on, Rita Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad		regarding proposed changes to Senate bill.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document
				Lavella, Fatrick/ Value Drug, Rotecila,				
			Kally Batrial (UBA) Brown Const. At 1	Kaushik/Smith Drug; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, B.;			Laura distribution in disease la de décretation (#1.1.1.)	
			Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Drew, Gregory/Value	Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA; Gallenagh,			Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party	
			Drug; Harrison, Hal/Mutual Drug;	Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA;	Email with attachment from distributor to		involvement destroys privilege. Subject of	ARDC subsequently produced the
			Foreman, Jeffrey/Smith Drug; Smith,	Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA; Gray,	outside counsel, distributors and trade	Attorney-Client	communication involves a business matter. Attorney	ABDC subsequently produced the
		Ganley,	C./HD Smith; Norton, Rita; Callinicos,	John/HDA; Parker, John/HDA; McGrath,	group seeking legal advice regarding draft	Privilege;	involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6105	10/20/2017 Norte	on, Rita Joseph/McKesso	Sean/CAH; Slone, Pete/McKesson	Rebecca/CAH	HDA solutions document.	Common interest	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00472769
		1		Kaushik/Smith Drug; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, B.;				
			Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Drew, Gregory/Value	Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA; Gallenagh,			Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party	
			Drug; Harrison, Hal/Mutual Drug;	Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA;	Email with attachment from distributor to		involvement destroys privilege. Subject of	
			Foreman, Jeffrey/Smith Drug; Smith,	Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA; Gray,	outside counsel, distributors and trade	Attorney-Client	communication involves a business matter. Attorney	ABDC subsequently produced the
		Ganley,	C./HD Smith; Norton, Rita; Callinicos,	John/HDA; Parker, John/HDA; McGrath,	group seeking legal advice regarding draft	Privilege;	involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege	document identified in this entry at
APDCMDL BRIV 6406	10/20/2017 North	**				0 ,		1
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6106	10/20/2017 Norte	on, Rita Joseph/McKesso	Sean/CAH; Slone, Pete/McKesson	Rebecca/CAH	HDA solutions document.	Common interest	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00472783
				Harrison, Hal/Mutual Drug; Foreman,				
				Jeffrey/Smith Drug; Norton, Rita; Callinicos,				
				Sean/CAH; Slone, Pete/McKesson; Lavella,				
				Patrick/Value Drug; Kotecha, Kaushik/Smith Drug;				
				Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, B.; Kozyckyj,			Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party	
				Roxolana/HDA; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA;			involvement destroys privilege. Subject of	
				DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA;	Email from client to outside counsel and	Attorney-Client	communication involves a business matter. Attorney	ABDC subsequently produced the
		Smith, Chris/HD		Miller, Ruth; Gray, John/HDA; Parker, John/HDA;	distributors seeking legal advice regarding	Privilege;	involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL PRIV 6107	10/20/2017 Norte	· · ·	Ganley, Joseph/McKesson	McGrath, R./CAH	draft HDA solutions document.	Common interest	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00472785
	25, 25, 252, 14016	,				1		T 2 2.00

ABDCMDL_PRIV_6136	10/24/2017 Norton, Rita	Kelly, Patrick/HD.	Drew, Gregory/Value Drug; Harrison, Hal/Mutual Drug; Foreman, Jeff/Smith Drug; Smith, C./HD SMith; Norton, Rita; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Slone, Pete/McKesson	Drug; Kotecha, Kaushik/Smith Drug; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, B.; Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA; Gray, John/HDA; Parker, John/HDA; McGrath, Rebecca/CAH; Tallamy, Brad; Frank, Richard/Esq./OFW Law; Pahl, T./Esq/OFW Law; Ritter, Pam/HDA	Email with attachments from trade group to outside counsel, distributors and consultant seeking legal advice regarding HDA practical solutions document.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00472836
			Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Slone,		Email among client employees, outside		Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party	
			P./McKesson; Ganley, Joe/McKesson;		counsel, trade group and distributors		involvement destroys privilege. Subject of	
			Brandewie, Claire/McKesson; O'Sullivan,		discussing need for legal advice regarding	Attorney-Client	communication involves a business matter. Attorney	ABDC subsequently produced the
		Freitas,	Ashley; Mitchell, B.; Callinicos, Sean/CAH;	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Kelly, Patrick/HDA;	strategy for upcoming Congressional	Privilege;	involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6189	12/5/2017 Norton, Rita	Kristen/HDA	McGrath, R./CAH; Brimmer, Molly/CAH	Cosgrove, Jewelyn/HDA	hearings.	Common interest	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00472842
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6209	12/20/2017 Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Slone, Pete/McKesson	Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Brandewie, Claire/McKesson; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth; Brimmer, Molly/CAH; Menn, Buddy/Esq./Brown Rudnick	Email from client to consultant and distributors discussing information at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding the Hassan meeting on the opioid crisis.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00472843
					Email from trade group to client discussing		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of	
					legal advice sought from in-house counsel	Attorney-Client	communication involves a business matter. Attorney	ABDC subsequently produced the
		Freitas,			(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding	Privilege;	involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6232	2/27/2018 Norton, Rita	Kristen/HDA	Norton, Rita		federal legislation	Common interest	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00472878
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6240	3/3/2018 Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Norton, Rita; Rebecca McGrath, Rebecca/CAH; Slone, Pete; Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Brandewie, Claire/McKesson; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth	Downs, Raissa/TDY; Young, Jennifer/TDY; Ivancic, C./TDY; Smith, Pamela/TDY Consulting; Murray, E./TDY; Thorsen, Carl/Thorsen-French; French, Alec/French; Menn, Buddy/Esq./Brown Rudnick; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Miller, Ruth	Email with attachments from trade group to outside counsel, consultants and distributors seeking legal advice regarding DOJ letter.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common Interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00472880
			Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Norton, Rita;				Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6241	3/4/2018 Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Rebecca McGrath, Rebecca/CAH; Slone, Pete; Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Brandewie, Claire/McKesson; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth	Downs, Raissa/TDY; Young, Jennifer/TDY; Ivancic, C./TDY; Smith, Pamela/TDY Consulting; Murray, E./TDY; Thorsen, Carl/Thorsen-French; French, Alec/French; Menn, Buddy/Esq./Brown Rudnick	Email from trade group to outside counsel, distributors and consultant seeking legal advice regarding DOJ letter	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00472884
ABDCMDL PRIV 6250	3/13/2018 Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Callinicos, Sean/CAH; McGrath, R./CAH; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Slone, P./McKesson; Ganley, Joe/McKesson	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Cosgrove, Jewelyn/HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA	Email from trade group to counsel and distributors regarding proposed modifications to S. 483.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473142
	5, 15, 2525 115. (5.1.) Mila		.,		email among client employees discussing			
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6252	3/14/2018 Norton, Rita	Reese, Linda	Tallamy, Brad; Norton, Rita; Meehan, Fallon	O'Sullivan, Ashley	legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding feedback for House Ways and Means Committee on solutions to opioid epidemic.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
		•	•		•	•	·	

								1		
					Bronfein, Alan/Remedirx; Gay, B. Gaycohealthcare; Mitchell, Beth; Bill Maguire, Bill/OmniCell; Rowland, Bob/Grane RX; Warnock, Robert/Pruitthealth; Weir, Bob Guardian Pharmacy; Scott, Brian/Kinneydrugs; Brian Kramer, Brian/Forumpharmacy; Lewis, Dave/Consonus; Farber, David/Esq./KS Law; Towns, Doug/Guardian pharmacy; Nishi, Karen/Cubexsystem; Garza, Kim/Innovatix; Avdiu, Labi; Connor, Lynn/SCP-Rx; Ludlow, Mary/Wopharmacy; Templin, Michelle/MHA; Brattain, Patty/PharMerica; McKeon,					
					Rich/McKesson; Miller, Rick; Norton, Rita; Hancock, Scott/PropacPayless Pharmacy;					
					Thayer, Scott; Siegel, Shara/Innovatix;				Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party	
				Posonbloom	Rhodus, Susan/GeriMed; Voelkl, Todd/Buffalo Pharmacies; Caneris,		Email with attachment from pharmacy to	Attorney-Client	involvement destroys privilege. Subject of	ABDC withdraws the privilege
				Rosenbloom, Alan/Senior Care	Tom/PharMerica; Galletta, Vince/Buffalo		Email with attachment from pharmacy to outside counsel and client seeking legal	Privilege;	communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6256		3/15/2018	Norton, Rita	Pharmacies	Pharmacies		advice regarding opioid legislation.	Common Interest	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
							Email from client to outside counsel, distributors and trade group seeking legal	Attorney-Client	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney	ABDC subsequently produced the
ABDCMDL PRIV 6329		5/2/2018	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA	Patrick/HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA	advice regarding Energy and Commerce Committee hearing.	Privilege; Common interest	involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473146
		5,2,2525	,				Email reflecting legal advice sought from		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
							counsel (Johnson, Scott/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.) regarding Acme #30 drug	Attorney-Client	communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6376		4/23/2010	Due Diligence Files	Tomkiewicz, Joe	Hazewski, Edward		diversion investigation.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6377		6/24/2013	Due Diligence Files				Draft communication attached to privileged email seeking legal advice from counsel (Greenhall, Richard/Esq.; Nachman, Michael/Esq.) regarding low volume, high risk accounts.	Attorney-Client Privilege	No author idntified. No recipients identified. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation. Draft document sent to in-house counsel seeking legal review and analysis as attachment to ABDCMDL_PRIV6379
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6378		6/24/2013	Due Diligence Files				Draft talking points attached to privileged email seeking legal advice from counsel (Greenhall, Richard/Esq.; Nachman, Michael/Esq.) regarding low volume, high risk accounts.	Attorney-Client Privilege	No author idntified. No recipients identified. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation. Draft document sent to in-house counsel seeking legal review and analysis as attachment to ABDCMDL_PRIV6379.
ABDCMDL PRIV 6392	ABDCMDL00037421	12/16/2009) AmerisourceBergen	Michael Mapes (CSRA DEA Consultant)			counsel (Debra Swartz, Esq VP & Associate General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00398875
		12, 10, 2003	c.isoa.cebergeii				Report prepared at the direction of			
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6393	ABDCMDL00037421	11/8/2010	AmerisourceBergen	Michael Mapes (CSRA DEA Consultant)	Debra Swartz, Esq. (VP & Associate General Counsel)	Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer); Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs); Ed Hazewski (Manager - CSRA II)	counsel (Debra Swartz, Esq VP & Associate General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review. Response to report prepared at the	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00398877
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6394	ABDCMDL00037421	1/6/2011	AmerisourceBergen	Ed Hazewski (Manager - CSRA II)	Debra Swartz, Esq. (VP & Associate General Counsel)	Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer); Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs); Paul Ross (Director - Regulatory Affairs)	direction of counsel (Debra Swartz, Esq VP & Associate General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00398880

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-6 Filed 02/24/20 Page 17 of 24 PageID #: 1629

ABDCMDL_PRIV_6395	ABDCMDL00037421	7/27/2012	AmerisourceBergen	Michael Mapes (CSRA DEA Consultant)	Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (Assistant General Counsel)		counsel (Elizabeth Campbell, Esq Assistant General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00398882
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6396	ABDCMDL00037421	11/26/2012	AmerisourceBergen	Ed Hazewski (Director - Diversion Control & Security)	Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (Assistant General Counsel)	Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer); Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs); Joe Tomkiewicz (Corporate Investigator I); Michael Mapes (CSRA DEA Consultant)	Response to report prepared at the direction of counsel (Elizabeth Campbell, Esq Assistant General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00398886
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6397	ABDCMDL00037421	8/9/2013	AmerisourceBergen	Ed Hazewski (Director - Diversion Control & Security)	Mary Fox, Esq. (VP - Deputy General/Group Counsel); Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer)	Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs); Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (Assistant General Counsel)	Report prepared at the direction of counsel (Mary Fox, Esq VP - Deputy General/Group Counsel; Elizabeth Campbell, Esq Assistant General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00398888
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6398	ABDCMDL00037421	11/1/2013	AmerisourceBergen	Ed Hazewski (Director - Diversion Control & Security)	Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (VP & Associate General Counsel); Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer)	Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs); Joe Tomkiewicz (Corporate Investigator I); Michael Mapes (CSRA DEA Consultant)	Response to report prepared at the direction of counsel (Elizabeth Campbell, Esq VP & Associate General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00398892
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6399	ABDCMDL00037421	7/27/2014	AmerisourceBergen	Michael Mapes (CSRA DEA Consultant)	Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (VP & Associate General Counsel);	Officer); Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs); David May (Sr. Director - Diversion Control & Federal Investigations); Ed Hazewski (Director - Diversion Control & Security)	Report prepared at the direction of counsel (Elizabeth Campbell, Esq VP & Associate General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00398896
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6400	ABDCMDL00037421	1/31/2017	AmerisourceBergen	Brian Reise (CSRA DEA Consultant)	Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (SVP - Group General Counsel)	Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer); Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs); David May (Sr. Director - Diversion Control & Federal Investigations)	counsel (Elizabeth Campbell, Esq SVP - Group General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00476531
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6406		1/11/2014	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Collis, Steve; Neu, Dave	Chou, John/Esq.; Howell, Peyton; Norton, Rita; Zimmerman, Chris; Caffentzis, Anthony; Guttman, Tim	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding NABP consensus statement. Email with attachments from client to in-	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6411		9/23/2014	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Bennett, Bruce		house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center. Email with attachments from client to in-	Attorney-Client Privilege	communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6419		5/8/2015	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Fox, Mary/Esq. Altrogge, Jeannine; Collis, Steve; Marsh,	Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Ball, Chuck; Schmidt, Andrew; Zimmerman, Chris; Miller, Mike; Williamson, Joe; Garland, Mike; Fisher, Marian	house counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6436		6/22/2015	Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.	Altrogge, Jeannine; Collis, Steve; Marsh, Lawrence; Guttman, Tim; Barry, June; Clark, Gina; Danilewitz, Dale; Bausinger, Vicki/Legal Department; Zimmerman, Chris; Greenhall, Richard/Esq.; Fox, Mary/Esq.; Gaddes, Kathy; Stone, Rob/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Davey, Vanessa; Ouchley, Jeremy/Esq.; Krikorian, Laz; Nguyen, Thanh; Mauch, Robert; Frary, James; Howell, Peyton; Senior, David		Email with attachments from in-house counsel to client providing legal advice regarding CSRA FY16 Plan.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation. Communication circulates legal strategy and advice from ABDC Legal Department.

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-6 Filed 02/24/20 Page 18 of 24 PageID #: 1630

		1				1		
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6437	6/22/2015 Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Brungess, Barbara		Email from client discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding HDMA Executive Committee Meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
			DeMartino, John; Byrne, Thomas; Mauch,					
			Robert; Cherveny, Eric; Ball, Chuck; Gaal,					
			Greg; Caffentzis, Anthony; Wetzel, Tim;					
			Jackson, Jim; Senior, David; Lightfoot,					
			Emily; Miller, Mike; Zimmerman, Chris;					
			Wiggs, John; Caroline, David/Esq.;					
			Manning, Kathie; Greenhall, Richard/Esq.;					
			Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Meluso,					
			Ginette; Mays, Steve; Chou, John/Esq.;					
			May, David; Winter, Matthew; Nachman,				Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	
			Michael/Esq.; Bennett, Bruce; Cox, Kate;		Email with attachments from client to in-		apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter,	
ABDCMDL PRIV 6443	7/9/2015 Mayob Bahart	Manefield Denies	Duncan, Francine; Hendrickson, Stephen;		house counsel seeking legal advice	Attorney-Client	and not a legal one. Description is overly vague.	Decrease Dending
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6443	7/8/2015 Mauch, Robert	Mansfield, Denise	Bak, nyung/Esq.		regarding PMO.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege
					Email from in-house counsel to client			
ABDCMDL PRIV 6449	7/20/2015 Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.	Brungess, Barbara; Mauch, Robert	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	providing legal advice regarding HDMA public relations.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6449	7/20/2013 Mauch, Robert	Criou, John/Esq.	Brungess, Barbara; Mauch, Robert	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	public relations.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
					Email from client discussing legal advice		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of	ABDC withdraws the privilege
					from in-counsel (Bak, Hyung/Esq.)	Attorney-Client	communication involves a business matter. Privilege	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6453	7/20/2015 Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Gray, John/HDMA	Meehan, Fallon	regarding HDMA public relations.	Privilege	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
				Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Schmidt, Andrew;	Email with attachments from client to in-		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	
				Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Ball, Chuck;	house counsel seeking legal advice		apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter,	ABDC withdraws the privilege
				Bennett, Bruce; Rehovsky, Jeffery; Brown, Greg;	regarding CSRA audit of distribution	Attorney-Client	and not a legal one. Description is overly vague.	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6454	7/24/2015 Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Fox, Mary/Esq.	Olmo, Marisol	center.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
					5 11 11 11 11 15 15 15 15 15			
				Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Schmidt, Andrew; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Ball, Chuck;	Email with attachments from client to in-		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter,	ABDC withdraws the privilege
				Bennett, Bruce; Rehovsky, Jeffery; Cook, Brent;	house counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution	Attorney-Client	and not a legal one. Description is overly vague.	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 6455	7/31/2015 Mauch, Robert	Taraschi, Anthony	Fox Mary/Fsg	Walker, Jeff	center.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	,
	1,00,000		, ,		Email chain with attachments between		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
					client and in-house counsel (Fox,		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	A DDC with drawn the part illes
		1			Mary/Esq.) discussing legal advice		communication. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
ARROCARDI BRIVI CAST	0/10/2015 Marrish Bull 1	D A.t	Marcala Dalacat		regarding CSRA audit of distribution	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6457	8/18/2015 Mauch, Robert	Droz, Antonio	Mauch, Robert		center.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document
							Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	
			Gray, John/HDMA; Smith, Dale/HD Smith;		Email from client discussing legal advice		apply. Third party involvement destroys privilege.	1
			Moody, David/Mutual Drug; Giacomin,	Voeller, Jan/Dak Drug; Gabay, Kim/Cardinal;	from in-house counsel (Campbell,		Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not	ABDC withdraws the privilege
		L	Jon/Cardinal; Couch, Ken; Walchirk,	Mariano, Karleen/McKesson; Meehan, Fallon;	Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Masters	Attorney-Client	g,gg	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6466	1/18/2016 Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Mark/McKesson; Scherr, Ted/Dak Drug	'Tara Conway, Tara; Watson, Jessica/Mutual Drug	Pharmaceuticals case.	Privilege	is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privielge. Subject	document
		Gallenagh,			Email with attachments from in-house	Attorney-Client	involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal	ABDC withdraws the privilege
		Elizabeth/Esq./HD			counsel to client providing legal advice	Privilege; Work	one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6473	4/4/2016 Mauch, Robert	MA	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDMA	Gray, John/HDMA	regarding Masters Pharmaceuticals case.	Product	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
ABDCIVIDE_PRIV_64/3	4/4/2016 Mauch, Robert	IVIA	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDMA	Gray, JONN/HDMA	regarding Masters Pharmaceuticals case.	Product	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	aocument

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-6 Filed 02/24/20 Page 19 of 24 PageID #: 1631

	•	
Thomas, Al/Sanofi Pasteur; Mauch,		
Robert; Boyter, Dawn/Glasgow KY; Gielen,		
Chad/LWDRX; Phillips, Chip/Andanet;		
Smith, Dale; MacFarlane, Dave/SeaCoast		
Medical; Ferraro, Louis/Henry Schien;		
Gray, John/HDMA; Drew, Greg/Value		
Drug; Foreman, Jeff/Smith Drug;		
Johnston, Gayle/Cura Script; Giacomin,		
Jon/Cardinal; Couch, Ken; Lazich,		
Sam/OMS Pharma; Rodríguez,		
Lenny/Attain Med; Burns,		
Maria/Burlington Drug, Walchirk,		
Mark/McKesson; Smith, Mary/RS		
Northeast; Faul, Michael/Miami Luken;		
Dickson, Paul; Rodriguez, Raul/Droguería		
Betances; Richards, GK; Scherr, Ted/Dak	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	
	apply. Third party involvement destroys privilege.	
	Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not	ABDC withdraws the privilege
Walter/Health Coalition; Smock, Nick/PBA McNamara) discussing legal advice Privilege; Work	a legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 6474 4/29/2016 Mauch, Robert Gray, John/HDMA Health; Szewc, Todd/Keysource Medical regarding Public Law 114-145 (S.483). Product	is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
May, David; Weissman, Gabriel; Brungess, Email chain between client and in-house	communication. Subject of communication involves a	
Barbara; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Mauch, Robert; counsel discussing legal advice regarding Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6481 5/27/2016 Mauch, Robert Clark, Gina Moyer, Lauren Chou, John/Esq.; Norton, Rita Charleston Gazette Mail article. Privilege	discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
Clark, Gina; May, David; Weissman, Email chain with attachments between	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	
Gabriel; Brungess, Barbara; Campbell, client and in-house counsel discussing Attorney-Client	apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a	
Elizabeth/Esq.; Mauch, Robert; Chou, legal advice regarding Lexington Herald Privilege; Work	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6482 5/31/2016 Mauch, Robert Moyer, Lauren John/Esq.; Norton, Rita Leader article. Product	discovery rulings.	Response Pending.
Hua, Wen; Collis, Steve; Guttman, Tim; Well science Devide From Length of Section Possible From Length (From Length) and Attacage Client	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	ABDC withdraws the privilege
Norton, Rita; Davis, Laura; Peters, Krikorian, Laz; Senior, David; Frary, James; house counsel seeking legal advice Attorney-Client Altrogge, Michael; Barry, June; Chou, John/Esq.; Morales, Albert; Howell, Peyton; Cleary, Jim; regarding Government Affairs Privilege; Work	apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 6486 G/16/2016 Mauch, Robert Jeannine Clark, Gina; Danilewitz, Dale Michael; Barry, June; Chou, John/Esq.; Morales, Albert; Howell, Peyton; Cleary, Jim; regarding Government Affairs Privilege; Work Product	legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
ADDITION OF THE CHARLES AND AD	inconsistent w/ prior discovery runnigs.	ABDC maintains the privilege
		designation but will produce the
	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	document in a redacted form.
Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Weissman, Gabriel; Redacted email from in-house counsel	apply. Attoreny involvment appears indirect. Subject	Redacted communication from in-
Moyer, Lauren; May, David; Clark, Gina; Chou, (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) providing legal	involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	house counsel is privileged as it
Zimmerman, John/Esq.; Guttman, Tim; Mauch, Robert; Norton, advice regarding response to media Attorney-Client	Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is	contains legal advice. Description
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6490 6/22/2016 Mauch, Robert Chris Brungess, Barbara Rita inquiry. Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	revised to reflect same.
Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Schmidt, Andrew;		
Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Ball, Chuck; Email with attachments from client to in-	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	ABDC withdraws the privilege
	apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter,	
	and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6500 6/30/2016 Mauch, Robert Madsen, Greg Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. Stephanie center. Privilege Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Schmidt, Andrew; Email with attachments from client to in-	prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	document
	apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter,	ABDC withdraws the privilege
Bennett, Bruce; Delac, Frank; Novak, Dawn; regarding CSRA audit of distribution Attorney-Client	and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	designation and will produce the
	prior discovery rulings.	document
ADDICTION OF THE PROPERTY OF T	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	
Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Ball, Chuck; house counsel seeking legal advice	apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter,	ABDC withdraws the privilege
	and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	designation and will produce the
	prior discovery rulings.	document
Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Collis, Steve; Email with attachments from client to in-	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	A DDC with drawn the part illes
Danilewitz, Dale; Frary, James; Gaddes, house counsel seeking legal advice Attorney-Client	apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
ABDCMDL PRIV 6518 10/27/2016 Mauch, Robert Chrisman, Kelly Cleary, Jim; Mauch, Robert; Park, Sun Norton, Rita presentation. Product	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 6518 10/27/2016 Mauch, Robert Chrisman, Kelly Cleary, Jim; Mauch, Robert; Park, Sun Norton, Rita presentation. Product	discovery rulings.	document

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-6 Filed 02/24/20 Page 20 of 24 PageID #: 1632

ABDCMDL_PRIV_6519	10/27/2016 Mauch, Robert	Barbara; Cla Chou, John/ Campbell, El	a; May, David; Brungess, ark, Gina; Zimmerman, Chris; (Esq.; Moyer, Lauren; clizabeth/Esq.; Guttman, Tim; pert; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy,		Redacted email chain among client and in- house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.)seeking and providing regarding response to media inquiry.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communication to/from inhouse counsel is privileged as it related to provision of legal advice. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6526	11/1/2016 Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Howell, Pey Gabriel Mauch, Rob		Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Moyer, Lauren; Tallamy, Brad	Redacted email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding messaging document.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communication reflects request for legal advice from inhouse counsel. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6527	11/1/2016 Mauch, Robert	Howell, Peyton Weissman, (Gabriel	Clark, Gina; Mauch, Robert; Norton, Rita; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; Tallamy, Brad; Pellicano, Debora; Moyer, Lauren	and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6528	11/5/2016 Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel Mauch, Rob	pert	Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita	client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6529	11/6/2016 Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq. Mauch, Rob	pert	Clark, Gina	Email from in-house counsel to client discussing legal advice regarding role of wholesalers in distribution of controlled substances.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation. Email from in-house counsel and contains legal advice. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6530	11/6/2016 Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel Mauch, Rob	pert; Chou, John/Esq.	Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita	Email chain with attachments between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document without redactions
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6531	11/6/2016 Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina Weissman, G	Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Norton, Rita	Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6532	11/6/2016 Mauch, Robert	Campbell, El Chou, John/Esq. Chris; May,	:lizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, David	Weissman, Gabriel; Norton, Rita; Clark, Gina; Mauch, Robert	Redacted email chain between client and in-house counsel regarding ABDC efforts to prevent diversion.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6533	11/6/2016 Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert Weissman, G	Gabriel	Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita	Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL PRIV 6534	11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert	Craig/Cardir Weissman, G Kristin/McKi	/Cardinal; Morford, nal; Schechter, Lori/McKesson; Gabriel; Hunter, iesson; Callinicos, nal; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita		Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL PRIV 6535	11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita Robert	Chou, John/Esq.; Mauch,		Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6536	11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert		a; Chou, John/Esq.; Mauch,		Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6537	11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita John/Esq.	Weissman, Gabriel; Chou,	Zimmerman, Chris; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; May, David; Mauch, Robert	Redacted email chain between client and in-house counsel regarding ABDC efforts to prevent diversion.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-6 Filed 02/24/20 Page 21 of 24 PageID #: 1633

		1			T			<u>, </u>
			Collis, Steve; Guttman, Tim; Chou,				Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	
			John/Esq.; Danilewitz, Dale; Howell,		Email with attachments from client to in-	Attorney-Client	apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
			Peyton; Park, Sun; Mauch, Robert; Frary,		house counsel seeking legal advice	Privilege; Work	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 6538	11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	James; Cleary, Jim; Gaddes, Kathy	Clark, Gina	regarding HDA industry talking points.	Product	discovery rulings.	document
		John James	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Email chain between client and in-house			ABDC withdraws the privilege
						Attarnay Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one	1
ADDCAADL DDIV CE20	44/7/2046 Marrish Baltant	Chau Jaha /Fan	Marcala Dalasas	Clark Circ. Norther Bits	counsel discussing legal advice regarding	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6539	11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen;	HDA industry talking points.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings	document
				Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany;	Email with attachments from client to in-		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	
				Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Lawlor, Michael;	house counsel seeking legal advice		apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter,	ABDC withdraws the privilege
				Terrien, Tracy; Pszczolkowski, Andrew; Shute,	regarding CSRA audit of distribution	Attorney-Client	and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 6540	11/14/2016 Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Lorna	center.	Privilege	prior discovery rulings.	document
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,		Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen;	Email with attachments from client to in-	-0-	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	
				Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany;	house counsel seeking legal advice		apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter,	ABDC withdraws the privilege
				Ball, Chuck; Miller, Mike; Wilson, Bill; Stavich,	regarding CSRA audit of distribution	Attorney-Client	and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6545	11/21/2016 Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	John; Neipp, Peter; Vilums, Brianne	center.	Privilege	prior discovery rulings.	document
				Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen;				
				Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany;	Email with attachments from client to in-		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	ABBC with decrease the contribute
				Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Lawlor, Michael;	house counsel seeking legal advice		apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter,	ABDC withdraws the privilege
				Maniaci, Philip; Price, William; Taraschi, Anthony;	regarding CSRA audit of distribution	Attorney-Client	and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546	11/29/2016 Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Hinman, Julie	center.	Privilege	prior discovery rulings.	document
					Email with attachments from client to in-		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
							1 1	ABDC withdraws the privilege
					house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.)	Attarnay Client	communication. Subject of communication involves a	
ADDCAADL DDIV CEAT	12/5/2015 Name - Balant	Marriel Dalam	Dell Charle		seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547	12/6/2016 Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Ball, Chuck		of distribution center.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document
								ABDC maintains the privilege
								designation but will produce the
					Redacted email among client employees			document in a redacted form.
			Mauch, Robert; Clark, Gina; Chou,		and in-house counsel (Campbell,		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	Redacted communication reflects
			John/Esq.; Guttman, Tim; Campbell,		Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing legal strategy		apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a	request for legal advice from in-
		Weissman,	Elizabeth/Esq.; Norton, Rita; Zimmerman,		regarding responses to media reporting	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	house counsel. Description revised
ABDCMDL PRIV 6548	12/9/2016 Mauch, Robert	Gabriel	Chris: Mav. David	Moyer, Lauren	and inquiries.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	to reflect same.
7.656.1152_7.1117_65.16	12, 3, 2010 Madeil, Nobel C	OGDITC!	Mauch, Robert; Clark, Gina; Chou,	moyer, Educer	Email with attachments from client to in-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	to remede sumer
			John/Esq.; Guttman, Tim; Norton, Rita;	Putt, Jordan; Romero, Lauren; DeAtley, Danny;	house counsel seeking legal advice		apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
		Weissman,	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman,	Kemper, Jennifer; Moyer, Lauren; Murphy,	regarding WV controlled substances media	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 6554	12/22/2016 Mauch, Robert	Gabriel	Chris; May, David	Bennett	update.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document
				Mauch, Robert; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.;				
				Guttman, Tim; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.;			Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	A B D C with drawn the maintless
				Zimmerman, Chris; Putt, Jordan; Romero, Lauren;	Email from client to in-house counsel		apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
				DeAtley, Danny; Kemper, Jennifer; Moyer, Lauren;	seeking legal advice regarding WV	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6555	12/23/2016 Mauch, Robert	May, David	Norton, Rita; Weissman, Gabriel	Murphy, Bennett; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad	controlled substances media update.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document
			Weissman, Gabriel; Mauch, Robert; Chou,	Guttman Tim: Mattey Keri: May David: Mayer	Email with attachments from client to in-	Attornoy Cliont	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	ABDC withdraws the privilege
		7immarman				Attorney-Client Privilege; Work	apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 6556	1/5/2017 Mauch, Robert	Zimmerman, Chris	John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Meluso, Ginette	Lauren; Meehan, Fallon; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie: Tallamy. Brad	house counsel seeking legal advice regarding draft WV Op-Ed.	Privilege; work	discovery rulings.	· '
MDUCIVIUL_PRIV_0000	1/5/201/ Iviaucii, Robert	CHIS	Elizabeth/ESq.; Meluso, Gillette	Statie, randffly, brau	regarding draft www Op-Ed.	rioduct	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	document
			May, David; Mauch, Robert; Chou,	Guttman, Tim; Mattox, Keri; Zimmerman, Chris;	Email chain with attachments between	Attorney-Client	apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
		Weissman,	John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Campbell,	Moyer, Lauren; Meehan, Fallon; Norton, Rita;	client and in-house counsel discussing	Privilege; Work	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 6560	1/6/2017 Mauch, Robert	Gabriel	Elizabeth/Esq.; Meluso, Ginette	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad	legal advice regarding draft WV Op-Ed.	Product	discovery rulings.	document
	2, 0, 2017	0001101		,, ranarry, sraa				ABDC maintains the privilege
								designation but will produce the
					Redacted email chain between client and			document in a redacted form.
					in-house counsel (Campbell,		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	Redacted communication to/from in-
					Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.) discussing		communication. Subject of communication involves a	house counsel is privileged as it
					legal strategy regarding response to media	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	related to provision of legal advice.
ABDCMDL PRIV 6568	1/12/2017 Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Mauch, Robert		inquiry.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	Description revised to reflect same.
	_/ 12/ 2017 Maden, Mobert	ciarity dirita					1	

								ABDC maintains the privilege
								designation but will produce the
								document in a redacted form.
					Redacted email chain between client and			Redacted communication to/from in-
					in-house counsel (Campbell,		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	house counsel is privileged as it
			Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.;	Clark, Gina; Guttman, Tim; Moyer, Lauren;	Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.) discussing		apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a	, ,
1		Weissman,	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Pirouz, Korey;	Murphy, Bennett; Mattox, Keri; Zimmerman, Chris;			legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	related to provision of legal advice.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6569	1/17/2017 Mauch, Robert	Gabriel	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad	May, David	inquiry.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	Description revised to reflect same.
								ABDC maintains the privilege
								designation but will produce the
				Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell,	Redacted email chain between client and			document in a redacted form.
				Elizabeth/Esq.; Pirouz, Korey; Heller, Stacie;	in-house counsel (Campbell,		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	Redacted communication to/from in-
				Tallamy, Brad; Clark, Gina; Guttman, Tim; Moyer,	Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.) discussing		apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a	house counsel is privileged as it
				Lauren; Murphy, Bennett; Mattox, Keri;	legal strategy regarding response to media	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	related to provision of legal advice.
ABDCMDL PRIV 6570	1/17/2017 Mauch, Robert	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel	Zimmerman, Chris; May, David		Privilege	discovery rulings.	Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCIVIDE_PRIV_0370	1/17/2017 Waden, Robert	NOITOII, KILA	Weissillall, Gabilel	Zillillerillari, Cillis, May, David	inquiry.	riiviiege	discovery runnigs.	<u> </u>
								ABDC maintains the privilege
								designation but will produce the
1				Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell,	Redacted email chain between client and			document in a redacted form.
				Elizabeth/Esq.; Pirouz, Korey; Norton, Rita; Heller,	in-house counsel (Campbell,		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	Redacted communication to/from in-
				Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Guttman, Tim; Moyer,	Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.) discussing		apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a	house counsel is privileged as it
				Lauren; Murphy, Bennett; Mattox, Keri;	legal strategy regarding response to media	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	related to provision of legal advice.
ABDCMDL PRIV 6571	1/18/2017 Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Weissman, Gabriel	Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	inquiry.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	Description revised to reflect same.
		,			. ,	- J	, ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
				Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen;	Email with attachments from client to in-		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	
				Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany;	house counsel seeking legal advice		apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
				Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Rehovsky, Jeffery;	regarding CSRA audit of distribution	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6576	1/30/2017 Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Boyd, Roger; Harrison, Andy; Ford, Stephanie	center.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document
				Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen;	Email with attachments from client to in-		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	
				Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany;	house counsel seeking legal advice		apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
						Attarnay Cliant		designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 6581	2/9/2017 Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Frary, James; Belden, Peter; Byrum, Stan; Marcum, Cathy; Hackel, Sandy; Vilums, Brianne	regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	document
ABBCIVIBL_FRIV_0581	2/3/2017 Waden, Nobert	iviauseri, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Catry, Hacker, Sandy, Vilums, Brianne	center.	riiviiege	discovery runings.	ABDC maintains the privilege
				Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell,				designation but will produce the
				Elizabeth/Esq.; Pirouz, Korey; Norton, Rita; Heller,	Redacted email chain between client and			document in a redacted form.
				Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Guttman, Tim; Moyer,	in-house counsel (Campbell,		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	Redacted communication to/from in-
				Lauren; Murphy, Bennett; Mattox, Keri;	Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.) discussing		apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a	house counsel is privileged as it
				Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; DeAtley, Danny;	legal strategy regarding response to media	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	related to provision of legal advice.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6582	2/10/2017 Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Weissman, Gabriel	Romero, Lauren; Putt, Jordan	inquiry.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	Description revised to reflect same.
								ABDC maintains the privilege
								designation but will produce the
				Weissman, Gabriel; Mauch, Robert; Chou,				document in a redacted form.
				John/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Pirouz, Korey;				Redacted communication to/from in-
				Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Clark, Gina; Guttman,	in-house counsel (Campbell,		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	
				Tim; Moyer, Lauren; Murphy, Bennett; Mattox,	Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.) discussing		apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a	house counsel is privileged as it
				Keri; May, David; DeAtley, Danny; Romero, Lauren;			legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	related to provision of legal advice.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6583	2/10/2017 Mauch, Robert	Norton, Rita	Zimmerman, Chris	Putt, Jordan	inquiry.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	Description revised to reflect same.
				Hendrickson, Stephen; Adams, Jenn; Lightfoot,		ĺ		
				Emily; Massari, Nathaniel; Mullin, Tom;		ĺ	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	
				Nightengale, Brian; Odutola, Akin; Rafferty,	Email chain between client and in-house	ĺ	apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
				George; Senior, David; Tremonte, Richard;	counsel discussing legal advice regarding	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL PRIV 6592	3/3/2017 Mauch, Robert	Winter, Matthew	Ball, Chuck	Webster, Jay; Meluso, Ginette	Board of Directors meeting.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document
	5,5,252, 1113351, 10561	Trincer, matthew	waden, Robert, Dak, Hydrig/E3q., Dall,	The state of the s				
			Chuck; Biermaas, Claire; Hendrickson,					
			Stephen; Adams, Jenn; Lightfoot, Emily;			ĺ		
			Massari, Nathaniel; Mullin, Tom;		L	1	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	ARDC withdraws the privilege
			Nightengale, Brian; Odutola, Akin; Senior,		Email chain between client and in-house		apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
10001101 0001 0001	2 /2 /22	- · · ·	David; Tremonte, Richard; Webster, Jay;		counsel discussing legal advice regarding	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6593	3/3/2017 Mauch, Robert	Rafferty, George	Winter, Matthew	Meluso, Ginette	Board of Directors meeting.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document

Souther/No. 18, No. 18	ABDCMDL_PRIV_6609		Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Chou, John/Esq.; Mauch, Robert; Guttman, Tim; Mattox, Keri; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Moyer, Lauren; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie; Mitchell, Beth; Clark, Gina; DeAtley, Danny; Romero, Lauren; Putt, Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	DiCicco, Diana/Tierney; Hummel, Kristin/Tierney; Dodson, Sydney/Tierney Weissman, Gabriel; Clark, Gina; Campbell,	Redacted email chain among client employees and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding responses to media reporting and inquiries. Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding HDA Public	Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6649 10/13/2017 Mauch, Robert Gabriel George reporting and Inquiries. Privilege discovery rulings. Description revised to reflect sar Collis, Steve; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.; Mauch, Robert, Gaddes, Kathy; Guttman, Tim; Nightengale, Brian; Howell, Peyton; Tremonte, Richard, Livener, Fisher, Gunck; Bak, Hyung/Esq.; Mattox, Kerl; Campbell, Elizabeth, John, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie; Mitchell, Beth; Vedathere, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie; Mitchell, Beth; Vedathere, Campbell, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie; Mitchell, Beth; Vedathere, Savoca, Mile; Wall, Kate; Romero, Lauren; Cupo, Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Casilenuov, Christer, Savoca, Mile; Wall, Kate; Romero, Lauren; Cupo, Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Casilenuov, Christoner, Livener, Cupo, Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Casilenuov, Christoner, Michael/Esq.; Kabosius, Brian/Legal Department, Barret, Ny; O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Firix, Kondor, Kristen, Hope, Woody, Multy; O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Firix, Kondor, Kristen, Hope, Woody, Multy; O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Firix, Kondor, Kristen, Hope, Woody, Multip, Greene,	ABDCMDL_PRIV_6632	9/14/2017	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Kathy; Clark, Gina; Guttman, Tim; Nightengale, Brian; Howell, Peyton; Tremonte, Richard; Horvath, Erin; Reed, Chuck; Bak, Hyung/Esq.; Mattox, Keri; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Lorenz-Fisher, Susan; Pirouz, Korey; Moyer, Lauren; Gunning, Francesca; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie; Mitchell, Beth; Webb, Matthew; Schultz, Andrew; DeAtley, Danny; Moore, Karlene; Lane, Christine; Meluso, Ginette; Savoca, Mia; Wall, Kate; Romero, Lauren; Putt, Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; Nachman, Michael/Esq.; Kabosius, Brian/Legal Department; Barrett, Kristy; O'Brien,	Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; Tallamy, Brad	employees and in-house counsel	Privilege	= : : : = : : : = : : : : : : : : : :	designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communication to/from in- house counsel is privileged as it
Murphy, Bennett; Biermaas, Claire; Email chain between client and in-house apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a ABDC withdraws the privilege	ABDCMDL_PRIV_6649	10/13/2017	Mauch, Robert	Gabriel	George Collis, Steve; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.; Mauch, Robert; Gaddes, Kathy; Guttman, Tim; Nightengale, Brian; Howell, Peyton; Tremonte, Richard; Horvath, Erin; Reed, Chuck; Bak, Hyung/Esq.; Mattox, Keri; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Lorenz-Fisher, Susan; Pirouz, Korey; Moyer, Lauren; Gunning, Francesca; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie; Mitchell, Beth; Webb, Matthew; Schultz, Andrew; DeAtley, Danny; Moore, Karlene; Lane, Christine; Meluso, Ginette; Savoca, Mia; Wall, Kate; Romero, Lauren; Cupo, Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; Nachman, Michael/Esq.; Kabosius, Brian/Legal Department; Barrett, Kristy; O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett; Biermaas, Claire;		reporting and inquiries. Email chain between client and in-house	Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a	Description revised to reflect same.

					1	<u></u>	T.		1	
					Collis, Steve; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.;					
					Mauch, Robert; Gaddes, Kathy; Guttman,					
					Tim; Nightengale, Brian; Howell, Peyton;					
					Tremonte, Richard; Horvath, Erin; Reed,					
					Chuck; Bak, Hyung/Esq.; Mattox, Keri;					
					Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Lorenz-Fisher,					
					Susan; Pirouz, Korey; Esposito, Lauren;					
					Gunning, Francesca; Norton, Rita; Heller,					
					Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie;					
					Mitchell, Beth; Webb, Matthew; Schultz,					
					Andrew; DeAtley, Danny; Moore, Karlene;					
					Lane, Christine; Meluso, Ginette; Savoca,					
					Mia; Wall, Kate; Romero, Lauren; Cupo,					
					Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David;					
					Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; Nachman,					
					Michael/Esq.; Kabosius, Brian/Legal					
					Department; Barrett, Kristy; O'Brien,					
					Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen;					
					Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene,					
					Karen; Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz;		Redacted email between client and in-		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not	
					Murphy, Bennett; Biermaas, Claire;		house counsel discussing legal advice		apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
	ABDCMDL0037509			Weissman,	Webster, Jay; Winter, Matthew; Scheidt,		regarding controlled substances media	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6757	0	1/30/2018	Mauch, Robert	Gabriel	Scott; Senior, David; Donati, David		update.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document
							Redacted email among clients			ABDC maintains the privilege
							reflecting communication seeking and			designation but will produce the
							providing legal advice from in-house			document with more limited
							counsel (Johnson, Scott/Esq.)			redactions. Redacted
							regarding due diligence review of		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	communications between and
	A DDCMDI 004006							Attamay Cliant	communication. Subject of communication involves a	
ABDCMDL PRIV 6810	ABDCMDL004996	12/10/2008	۸ٔ D	D1 D	Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski		prospective client with a Canadian	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	among clients and in-house counsel
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810	96	12/19/2008	AmerisourceBergen	Paul Ross	Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski		parent.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	seeking/providing legal advice.
							Redacted email among clients			
							reflecting communication sent for the			
							purpose of seeking legal advice of		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
							counsel (Debbie Swartz, Esq.)		communication. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC withdraws the privilege
	ABDCMDL005006						regarding DEA inspection at Corona	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6817	60	8/11/2004	AmerisourceBergen	Steve Mays	Eric Cherveney	Greg Madsen	Distribution Center.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	document
										ABDC maintains the privilege
										designation but will produce the
										document with more limited
							Redacted document reflecting legal			redactions. Redacted portion of
							advice from in-house counsel (Swartz,		L	document reflects legal advice from
	A DDCMDI 005000							Attorney Client	Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not	in-house counsel. Description revised
ADDOMDI DDIV 6026	ABDCMDL005008	12/10/2007	A D				Debbie/Esq.) regarding customer	Attorney-Client	a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6826	/4	12/19/2007	AmerisourceBergen				threshold.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	to reflect same.
										ABDC maintains the privilege
										designation but will produce the
										document with more limited
							Redacted document reflecting legal			redactions. Redacted portion of
							advice of attorney (Johnson,		Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not	document reflects legal advice on in-
	ABDCMDL005011						Scott/Esq.) regarding customer contrac	Attorney-Client	a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	house counsel. Description revised to
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6840	39	12/21/2007	AmerisourceBergen				research.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	reflect same.
									Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not	ABDC withdraws the privilege
	ABDCMDL003693								a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	designation and will produce the
	24	10/10/2017	AmerisourceBergen				Attached	"Privileged"	discovery rulings.	document without redactions
no privilege id										